
WILL THE NEXT FED CHAIR BE "TAYLOR-MADE" FOR THE POSITION?

Kevin Flanagan – Head of Fixed Income Strategy
10/25/2017

In the midst of everything else that is making headlines in Washington, D.C., of late, one of the more interesting story lines concerns who the next [Federal Reserve \(Fed\)](#) chair will be. As a reminder, the term of the current chair, Janet Yellen, is scheduled to end on February 3, 2018. The process for finding a potential successor (or maybe not if President Trump decides to reappoint Yellen) is apparently well underway, with a variety of candidates being interviewed.

Although when he was on the campaign trail, then-candidate Trump seemed to intimate that Yellen would not be reappointed, apparently she is one of the candidates being considered. The remaining names that reportedly are on the short list are the current Fed governor Jerome Powell, the ex-Fed governor Kevin Warsh and Stanford University economist John Taylor. A few months ago, Gary Cohn, director of the National Economic Council, was considered to be in the running, but according to recent press reports, his star has faded.

So, is there a front-runner as I write this blog post? Interestingly, depending on the week, or even the day, the names change on a regular basis. At the outset, the markets seemed to operate under the assumption that Cohn was out in front, but that line of thinking then turned to Warsh as a potential favorite. Lately, there has been a back and forth between Taylor and Powell, but just last week, it was reported that Yellen was seen for a second white house visit following her formal interview. The latter point is a perfect case of "Fed Chair intrigue". While Yellen may have been brought back for another meeting with the president, remember that she still is the reigning Fed chair as well, and such a meeting may have been related to current policy.

The financial markets always seem to have a preconceived notion regarding how a "next Fed chair" would tend to lean from a policy perspective, either [hawkish](#) (more aggressive about [raising rates](#)) or [dovish](#) (less aggressive on the rate front.) In my experience, the track record on these preconceived notions can leave a little to be desired. At times, events or developments occur that call for a decision-making process that requires fresh thinking, with past policy biases being rendered unhelpful.

With all that being said, how does the [U.S. Treasury \(UST\)](#) market view the potential candidates? For this blog post, I'm going to take a leap of faith and remove Cohn from contention. That leaves us with Warsh, Taylor, Powell and, of course, Yellen. Trying not to complicate the reasoning here, Warsh is viewed as leaning a little more to the hawkish side based upon his published views that his preference would be for a smaller Fed balance sheet. Although the current [Federal Open Market Committee \(FOMC\)](#) is embarking down this normalization path, the UST market seems to think a Warsh-led Fed could be more aggressive. Taylor is also viewed to be on the hawkish side of the equation based upon his well-known work, dubbed the Taylor Rule, a formula devised to set the Federal Funds Rate based on a target [inflation](#) rate and economic output. Both

Yellen and Powell are viewed more favorably to the U.S. rate setting because they would represent a continuation of current [monetary policy](#).

Conclusion

At present, the UST market is operating under the assumption the next Fed chair will be either Taylor or Powell. The preference is tilted toward Powell for the aforementioned reason, while the likelihood of a Taylor-led Fed being viewed as more of a potential negative development based on past experience. Indeed, back in 2011, Taylor wrote that he thought the Federal Funds Rate should be 1% based on his work (it was zero at the time) and that the Fed should not be contemplating another round of [quantitative easing \(QE\)](#). QE3 was later announced at the September 2012 FOMC meeting. Interestingly, the Fed chair and vice chair positions both need to be filled, so perhaps the markets could see a kind of two-for-one announcement. Bottom line: the markets may find out sooner rather than later, as expectations are that President Trump will announce his decision before his next Asian trip on November 3.

For standardized performance and the most recent month-end performance click [here](#) NOTE, this material is intended for electronic use only. Individuals who intend to print and physically deliver to an investor must print the monthly performance report to accompany this blog.

For more investing insights, check out our [Economic & Market Outlook](#)

view the online version of this article [here](#).

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

U.S. investors only: Click [here](#) to obtain a WisdomTree ETF prospectus which contains investment objectives, risks, charges, expenses, and other information; read and consider carefully before investing.

There are risks involved with investing, including possible loss of principal. Foreign investing involves currency, political and economic risk. Funds focusing on a single country, sector and/or funds that emphasize investments in smaller companies may experience greater price volatility. Investments in emerging markets, currency, fixed income and alternative investments include additional risks. Please see prospectus for discussion of risks.

Past performance is not indicative of future results. This material contains the opinions of the author, which are subject to change, and should not to be considered or interpreted as a recommendation to participate in any particular trading strategy, or deemed to be an offer or sale of any investment product and it should not be relied on as such. There is no guarantee that any strategies discussed will work under all market conditions. This material represents an assessment of the market environment at a specific time and is not intended to be a forecast of future events or a guarantee of future results. This material should not be relied upon as research or investment advice regarding any security in particular. The user of this information assumes the entire risk of any use made of the information provided herein. Neither WisdomTree nor its affiliates, nor Foreside Fund Services, LLC, or its affiliates provide tax or legal advice. Investors seeking tax or legal advice should consult their tax or legal advisor. Unless expressly stated otherwise the opinions, interpretations or findings expressed herein do not necessarily represent the views of WisdomTree or any of its affiliates.

The MSCI information may only be used for your internal use, may not be reproduced or re-disseminated in any form and may not be used as a basis for or component of any financial instruments or products or indexes. None of the MSCI information is intended to constitute investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such. Historical data and analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance analysis, forecast or prediction. The MSCI information is provided on an "as is" basis and the user of this information assumes the entire risk of any use made of this information. MSCI, each of its affiliates and each entity involved in compiling, computing or creating any MSCI information (collectively, the "MSCI Parties") expressly disclaims all warranties. With respect to this information, in no event shall any MSCI Party have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, punitive, consequential (including loss profits) or any other damages (www.msci.com)

Jonathan Steinberg, Jeremy Schwartz, Rick Harper, Christopher Gannatti, Bradley Krom, Tripp Zimmerman, Michael Barrer, Anita Rausch, Kevin Flanagan, Brendan Loftus, Joseph Tenaglia, Jeff Weniger, Matt Wagner, Alejandro Saltiel, Ryan Krystopowicz, Jianing Wu, and Brian Manby are registered representatives of Foreside Fund Services, LLC.

WisdomTree Funds are distributed by Foreside Fund Services, LLC, in the U.S. only.
You cannot invest directly in an index.

DEFINITIONS

Federal Reserve: The Federal Reserve System is the central banking system of the United States.

Hawkish: Description used when worries about inflation are the primary concerns in setting monetary policy decisions.

Rate Hike: refers to an increase in the policy rate set by a central bank. In the U.S., this generally refers to the Federal Funds Target Rate.

Dovish: Description used when stimulation of economic growth is the primary concern in setting monetary policy decisions.

Treasury: Debt obligation issued by the U.S. government with payments of principal and interest backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government.

Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC): The branch of the Federal Reserve Board that determines the direction of monetary policy.

Inflation: Characterized by rising price levels.

Monetary policy: Actions of a central bank or other regulatory committee that determine the size and rate of growth of the money supply, which in turn affects interest rates.

Quantitative Easing (QE): A government monetary policy occasionally used to increase the money supply by buying government securities or other securities from the market. Quantitative easing increases the money supply by flooding financial institutions with capital, in an effort to promote increased lending and liquidity.